Growth and yield response of lettuce and tomato grown under drip and manual irrigation
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ABSTRACT

Although there are new and innovative irrigation techniques available locally in Mindanao (Southern Philippines), growers still rely on the traditional method – manual irrigation. The study was conducted to determine the effects of irrigation method on the performance of lettuce and tomato. The study was laid out in factorial arrangement in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with different irrigation method (manual & drip) as treatments replicated three times. In tomato, drip irrigation produced larger fruits (polar equatorial measurement), highest number of marketable fruits, and highest yield per hectare. While in lettuce, it resulted in larger crown size (polar measurement), highest marketable yield per plant and per hectare. The study suggests that drip irrigation could improve the present farming situation in Mindanao, considering its potential to sustain farming during times of climatic uncertainty. Thus, further trials are required to verify its effects before introduction throughout the region.
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INTRODUCTION

Water availability is generally the most important natural factor limiting the widespread development of agriculture. This has led to innovations for saving irrigation water and increasing crop water use efficiency (Gencoglan et al 2006, Bozkurt & Bozkurt 2011). To minimize the negative effects of drought on plant growth, irrigation is required but it should be applied with care not only to avoid environmental problem such as runoff and groundwater contamination, but also preserve water supplies and minimize irrigation costs (DeTar et al 2006, Kizil et al 2012). Well managed subsurface drip irrigation systems save irrigation water in...
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many agricultural crops because water is applied directly to the root zone, reducing losses to evaporation or runoff. (Suarez-Rey et al 2006, Bozkurt & Bozkurt 2011).

Water loss can result in nutrient loss through percolation. However, drip irrigation reduces deep percolation, evaporation, controls soil water status more precisely within the crop root zone and improves fertilizer use efficiency (Singandhupe et al 2003).

According to Sanders et al 1989, Tan 1995, Thompson & Doerge 1996a-1996b) drip irrigation has many advantages over other types of irrigation and it is the most effective way to supply water and nutrients to the plant, which not only saves water but also increases yield of fruit and vegetable crops (Tiwari et al 1998 a,b).

Drip irrigation increased tomato yield and water use efficiency by 19 and 20%, respectively, over surface irrigation (Pruitt et al 1989, Singandhupe et al 2003).

Under adequate water supply, the stomatal resistance decreases and under inadequate supply it increases which leads to moisture stress in plants (Katerji et al 1987, Singandhupe et al 2003) which is one of the greatest factor to reduce plant growth. Sufficient and balanced irrigation proved to maximise yield per unit area (Pruitt et al 1989, Singandhupe et al 2003).

In Claveria, Misamis Oriental – manual irrigation is the most common irrigation method used. Although there are new and more innovative irrigation techniques and equipment, local growers still rely on this traditional, labour-intensive method of irrigation. Also, the farmers often think installation of drip and other irrigation systems is costly, discouraging them from adopting these systems. Therefore, the study was conducted to compare the effects of manual and drip irrigation on yield of lettuce and tomato.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted at the Agriculture Experiment Station of University of Science and Technology of Philippines – Claveria Campus, Claveria, Misamis Oriental. Two trials were conducted on both lettuce and tomato. Lettuce was produced from September to October 2016 (first cropping) and February to April 2017 (second cropping). In tomato, the first cropping was from February to May 2016 followed by a second cropping on June to October 2016.

Experimental Design and Treatments

The study was laid-out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with the different irrigation method, namely manual and drip irrigation as treatments replicated three times.

Cultural Management Practices

The land was cultivated and harrowed twice thoroughly using draft animal. For the lettuce, raised beds measuring 1mx6m with 4 rows per plot and 8 plants per row. In tomato, plots were established with a dimension of 1mx5m with two rows per plot and 10 plants per row. A distance of 1m was left between plots and 0.5m between
treatments for both commodities.

Installation of drip irrigation system followed immediately after transplanting. Drip lines were aligned parallel in every row. The irrigation was usually done two hours every day from 7:00am to 9:00am, although when rain occurred, irrigation was turned off. Manual irrigation was done through a sprinkler sprinkled water on plants until plots were fully and sufficiently wet.

The seedlings raised in trays were transplanted at about four to five weeks after sowing at a planting distance of 0.5mx0.5m (tomato) arranged in double row using AVTO 1173, a tomato line, while lettuce was planted at a distance of 0.3mx0.3m using Romaine (leafy-type).

Fertilization of tomato was by basal application, with commercial organic fertilizer at a rate of 20g plus 10g of complete fertilizer (14-14-14). Side dressings were applied with 10g of complete fertilizer (14-14-14), at 15 days after transplanting (DAT) while 10g urea (46-0-0) and 10g of muriate of potash (0-0-60) per hill was applied at 21 DAT. Fertigation was done at 40 DAT and was applied weekly right after the weekly harvest, with the mixture of 8kg urea (46-0-0), 8kg muriate of potash (0-0-60), and 16kg of a commercial organic fertilizer, Well Grow (1.1-2.83-1.61 of N-P2O5-K2O with 5.78%Ca and some amount of trace elements eg. 0.87%Fe, 0.019%Cu, 8.312ppm Mg, 927ppm Mn, & 339ppm Zn) diluted in 200L of water. Basal application in lettuce was done using 10g of vermicast at transplanting. Side dress applications of 0.27g urea (46-0-0), 1.85g Solophos (0-18-0) and 0.89g potash (0-0-60) were applied to each plant at 7, 14, and 21 DAT and did not receive any fertigation. Spraying of insecticides (Lannate™ & Karate®) and fungicides (Daconil™) were done weekly on tomato. The rate of application would change as necessary. The lettuce was kept free from any insecticides and fungicides and sprayed chemicals all throughout the study.

First harvesting of tomato was at 61 DAT with up to four further harvests. Lettuce was harvested when the head was full and compact at about 30 days after transplanting. After harvesting, tomatoes and lettuce were classified as marketable or non-marketable.

Data Collection and Analysis

Parameters such as fruit and crown size (polar & equatorial length), yield per plant (marketable & non-marketable), yield per hectare were measured on both commodities while number of fruits (marketable & non-marketable) and weight per fruit were collected solely on tomato. The yield per hectare for both crops were computed by basis on the marketable yield per plant only.

Data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using ASSISTAT (version 7.0 beta). The Tukey test was used to determine the significant differences among treatments means at (p<0.05) level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Tomato Fruit Size

Plots subjected to drip irrigation resulted in larger polar and fruit width compared with those plants manually irrigated during the first cropping (Table 1). This confirms the observation of Yohannes & Tadesse (1998) that fruit size of
tomato was increased by drip systems. However, during the second cropping, fruit were a similar size regardless of the irrigation method.

**Lettuce Crown Size**

Lettuce grown with drip irrigation had higher polar size of lettuce heads compared with the manual irrigation during the first cropping (Table 1) affirmed the finding of Tiwari et al. (1998) that drip irrigation is the most effective way to supply water and nutrients to the plant which not only save water but also increases yield. On the other hand, equatorial size did not differ between the irrigation methods in both cropping seasons.

Table 1. Effect of different irrigation method on the fruit and crown size of tomato and lettuce during the two-cropping period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Fruit Size (cm)</th>
<th>Crown Size (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lettuce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polar</td>
<td>Equatorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Method</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>5.06a</td>
<td>3.89a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC – First Cropping, SC – Second Cropping
Means in a column having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

**Yield**

Drip irrigation increased the marketable yield of lettuce by 21% compared with those plants grown under manual irrigation, in both cropping seasons. This could be attributed to its enlarged polar size (Table 2). Drip irrigation proved to have many advantages over other types of irrigation (Sanders et al 1989, Tan 1995, Thompson & Doerge 1996a-1996b) wherein facilitates higher and better-quality yields through uniform soil moisture distribution in the root zone continuously, introduce and increases the effectiveness of fertilizers (Acar et al 2008) and water at high uniformity (Pereira 1999 cited by Pereira et al 2002, Hansona et al 1997), which possibly lead to improved lettuce yield. Moreover, subsurface drip maximized yields and reduced water demand relatively (Ayars et al 1999, Pereira et al 2002).

Table 2. Yield performance of tomato and lettuce subjected to different irrigation method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Yield Per Plant (kg)</th>
<th>Tomato</th>
<th>Lettuce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marketable</td>
<td>Non - Marketable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>0.76b</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.23a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>1.06a</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.08b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC – First Cropping, SC – Second Cropping
Means in a column having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.
Another contributing factor in the increase of yield in lettuce under drip irrigation is lesser stress among plants. Water stress is known to reduce leaf area that causes leaf curling, wilting, and rapid senescence (Kim et al. 2011 as mentioned by Kizil et al. 2012) which makes more unfavourable for manual irrigation to attain higher yield compare with the drip irrigation. Kizil et al. 2012 stated that as the water stress decreases, lettuce yield increases accordingly. Reported results of water stress on lettuce yield characteristics varied probably depending on the severity and duration (Soundy et al. 2005 cited by Kizil et al. 2012).

Use of drip lines increased the yield of tomato, as well number of marketable fruits and weight per fruit consequently got the highest yield per hectare (Tables 2 and 3). The notable increase in yield could be associated with having a higher number of fruit and larger size. Li et al. 2015 found the same conclusions on both tomato and corn, that aside from saving water, drip irrigation increases crop production.

### Table 3. Number of fruits and weight per fruit of tomato in response to varying irrigation method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Number of Fruits</th>
<th>Weight Per Fruit (grams)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marketable</td>
<td>Non-Marketable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>33.47b</td>
<td>52.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>91.27a</td>
<td>69.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC – First Cropping, SC – Second Cropping
Means in a column having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

### Table 4. Effect of different irrigation method on the yield per hectare of tomato and lettuce during the two-cropping period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Yield (t ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tomato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Method</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>9.78b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip</td>
<td>38.23a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC – First Cropping, SC – Second Cropping
Means in a column having the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

### CONCLUSIONS

The study revealed the significant effect of drip irrigation in enhancing the yield characteristics of both lettuce and tomato. Tomatoes grown under drip irrigation produced larger fruits (polar & equatorial measurement), higher number of marketable fruits and heavier fruit, with a higher yield per hectare at first cropping. Lettuce subjected to drip irrigation produced larger crown size (polar), higher marketable yield per plant and per hectare in both cropping period impying that drip irrigation works better in fast growing crops like lettuce.

The results suggest that effects of drip irrigation on yield and quality could improve the farming situation of the local farmers who still rely on manual irrigation.
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Therefore, further trials on identification of water and time saving benefits should be done considering its potential benefit to sustain farming activities enough to outweigh drip irrigation cost.
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